UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,174	09/12/2006	Ho Chung Fung	743459-24	2506
22204 NIXON PEABO	7590 03/09/201 ODY, LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STRE SUITE 900	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UBER, NATHAN C		
	N, DC 20004-2128	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/566,174	FUNG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	NATHAN C. UBER	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	f).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The value of the sunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER //1 37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🛮 Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
	/Arthur Duran/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3622	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's claim amendments do not overcome the current 101 rejection because a machine or apparatus that is only employed by the method for insignificant extra-solution activity cannot be relied on to tie the claim to statutory subject matter. Further Applicant's argument that storing data constitutes a transformation of underlying subject matter is not persuasive. The data does not change from one state to another by virtue of a different storage location. Applicant submitted additional amendments that substantively change the claims and will require further search and consideration. For this reason the amendments will not be entered for purposes of appeal. Applicant's amendment removing the new matter from the independent claims does overcome the 112 first paragraph rejections, however the amendments cannot be entered because the entire amendment does not place the application in better condition for appeal. The amendments do not place the claims in condition for allowance, not in better condition for appeal.

Continuation of 13. Other: With regard to claim 36, Examiner notes that claim 36 was examined and specifically rejected under Kawan in both the final and non-final rejections (see pages 9 and 8 respectively); regretably Examiner omitted mention of claim 36 in the rejection headers in both actions. However, since claim 36 was rejected and Applicant was appraised of the rejection in both actions, the omission from the header is not cause for prosecution to be reopened.